| | | | HOPES - HFI Service Report FY 2009 | |
|--------|-----------|-------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | | | Program Name:LSI Polk | |
| | | 90 | oction A, 1-10 Includes totals for the families ENROLLED during FY09 | |
| ΔF | V09 Enrol | llment Tota | | Comments |
| A. I | | Percentage | | hopes program received three |
| 1 | 18 | reiteillag | Births/newborn screened for risk factors | referrals in the last two weeks of |
| 2 | 17 | 94% | High risk families with newborn identified & eligible for services | june and were not opened by |
| 3 | 14 | 82% | Of identified high risk with newborn offered HOPES-HFI | Julie and were not opened by 30-Jur |
| 3 4 | 5 | 0270 | Target children enrolled | 30-Jul |
| 5 | 14 | > | Families enrolled | |
| | 9 | 64% | Families enrolled prenatal | |
| 6 | 5 | 04% | Enrolled prenatal, not delivered before July 1, 2009 | |
| 0 | 2 | 14% | Families enrolled mother is < age 19 yr | |
| 8 | | | = 0 , | |
| 9 | 7 | 50% | Families enrolled, mother 1st time parent | |
| 10 | 9 | 64% | Families enrolled, single parent family FY09 CASELOAD | |
| | TV00 Coo | alaad Tata | | |
| В. І | | eload Tota | | |
| 4 | | Percentage | | |
| 1 | 596 | > | Unduplicated families served at project site since HOPES-HFI began | |
| 2 | 37 | \sim | Carryover families from FY08 | |
| 3 4 | 51 | $\ll >$ | Families Tanada de Helana | |
| | 46 | 201 | Target children | |
| 5 | 4 | 8% | Mothers age 18-19 yr when enrolled | |
| a. | 0 | 0% | Age 15-17 | |
| b. | 0 | 0% | Age ≤ 14 | |
| 6 | 33 | 65% | Families on caseload who were enrolled prenatal | |
| 7 | 19 | 37% | Families on caseload, mother 1st time parent | |
| 8 | 18 | 35% | Families on caseload, single parent family | |
| 9 | 7 | 14% | Families, parent is Caucasian | |
| 10 | 6 | 12% | Families, parent is African American | |
| 11 | 37 | 73% | Families, parent is Hispanic | |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | Families, parent Asian/Oriental | |
| 13 | 1 | 2% | Families, parent Native American | |
| 14 | 62 | $>\!\!<$ | Additional children ≤ age 18 yr residing in the family home | |
| C. To | | | actors Assessed Initial Few Home Visits Following Enrollment | |
| | | Percentage | | |
| 1 | 27 | 53% | Primary wage earner is unemployed | |
| 2 | 47 | 92% | Inadequate income | |
| 3 | 22 | 43% | Inadequate or unstable housing | |

| 4 | 2 | 4% | No Phone |
|----|----|-----|--|
| 5 | 31 | 61% | Unreliable transportation |
| 6 | 26 | 51% | Social isolation - limited emergency or family contacts |
| 7 | 23 | 45% | Parents formal education less than high school/ GED |
| 8 | 2 | 4% | Parental history of special education or learning disabilities |
| 9 | 4 | 8% | Current substance abuse of either parent |
| 10 | 9 | 18% | History of substance abuse of either parent |
| 11 | 19 | 37% | Marital or family problems |
| 12 | 17 | 33% | History or current mental illness/depression/psychiatric care |
| 13 | 11 | 22% | History or current family domestic violence |
| 14 | 9 | 18% | Fear or inadequate feelings about parenting |
| 15 | 2 | 4% | Indifferent, intolerant interaction with infant |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | History induced/attempted abortion this pregnancy |
| 17 | 4 | 8% | History of/or removal older children to foster care |
| 18 | 2 | 4% | Termination of parental rights to older children |
| 19 | 50 | 98% | Families with 3 or more risk factors |

| D. FY | 09 Exits | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------|---------------|--|---|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-----|--|--|
| | Number | Percentage | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 15 | \ | Families exited progran | n | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 5 | 33% | Exits with family goals r | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 4 | 27% | Exits family goals partia | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 6 | 40% | Exits family goals not m | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 15 | > | Times families moved/o | | dences | | | | | | |
| 6 | 2 | 13% | Exits due to family mov | | | | | | | | |
| E. FY | 09 Reten | ntion Rate | , | | | | | | | | |
| | Number | Percentage | e | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 19 | \ | Average months, include | ling prenatal, | families parti | cipated prior | to exit | | | | |
| 2 | 5 | 10% | Families exit before tar | | | • | | | | | |
| F. FY | '09 Healtl | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | d Birth Infor | mation | | | | | | | | |
| ٦ | | Percentage | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 4% | Target children born at | VLBW & LB | W | | | | | | |
| 2 | 43 | 84% | Women received initial | | | ſ | | | | | |
| 3 | 0 | | Women received no pro | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 47 | 92% | | Nomen received ≥ 5 prenatal care exams/appointments | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 41 | 80% | Families use family plan | | | | | | | | |
| G. He | ealth Card | e Coverage | (HCC) for Parents | | | | | | | | |
| | | Percentage | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 19 | 37% | Families parent(s) have | health care | coverage. | | | | | | |
| H. He | ealth Care | | (Insurance) for Targe | | J | | | | | | |
| | | Percentage | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 37 | 80% | Medicaid | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 9 | 20% | Hawk-I | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | Medicare (SSI or other) |) | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | Private Insurance | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | No health care coverage | e as of June | 30, 2009 | | | | | | |
| I. Hea | alth Care | for Target | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Percentage | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 46 | 100% | Medical Home: Establ | shed for tard | et children an | d accessing | | | | | |
| 2 | 45 | 98% | Target children with received ALL preventive health services for age according to the EPSDT se | | | | | | | | |
| \times | | >< | (except Dental/Oral He | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 16 | >> | Target children > age 2 | | , | | | | | | |
| 4 | 16 | 100% | Target children with imi | | according to re | ecommende | d schedule by | age 2 | | | |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | Target children with leg | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 26 | > | Target children > 12 mg | | | • | | | | | |
| 7 | 25 | 96% | Lead Poisoning: Num | | ildren > 12 mo | o. screened f | or Blood Lead | Level (BLL) | | | |
| | | | | 32. 3 | <u> </u> | 3 | | - \/ | II. | | |

| 8 | 1 | 4% | Target children with an | elevated BLI | L screen | | | | |
|--|----------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|----------|--|
| 9 | 0 | 0% | Target children receive | | | | | | |
| 10 | 1 | 100% | Target children with BL | L resolved to | normal by en | d of FY07 | | | |
| 11 | 38 | 83% | Developmental Scree | ning: Numbe | er of target chi | ildren assess | sed for develop | mental | |
| \sim | $>\!\!<$ | $>\!\!<$ | delay per age | | | | | | |
| 12 | 3 | 8% | Target children with su | spected/pote | ntial developn | nent delay id | entified | | |
| 13 | 3 | 100% | Target children with su | spected/pote | ntial developn | nent delay re | ferred to Early | ACCESS | |
| \sim | $>\!\!<$ | $>\!\!<$ | identified | | | | | | |
| 14 | 3 | 100% | Target children with su | spected/pote | ntial developn | nent delay wl | ho participated | in Early | |
| \sim | $>\!\!<$ | $>\!\!<$ | ACCESS following refe | erral | | | | | |
| 15 | 24 | 92% | Dental Home: Target | children <u>></u> 12 | mo accessed | Dental provid | der or I-Smile s | ervices | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | |
| \supset | $>\!\!<$ | $>\!\!<$ | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 9 | 100% | Pregnant women who | had <u>></u> 1 denta | al exam/scree | ning prenata | İ | | |
| | | | FY09 Social, Envi | ronmental, E | Emotional an | d Communi | ty | | |
| J. Father (FOB) Target Child Involvement | | | | | | | | | |
| | Number | Percentage | е | | | | | | |
| 1 | 42 | 82% | Families, Father of the | target child (| FOB) involved | k | | | |
| 2 | 3 | 6% | Families male involved | in "fatherhoo | od role" other t | than FOB | | | |

| K Ch | nild Ahus | e/Neglect | |
|----------|-----------|------------|--|
| 11. 01 | | Percentage | |
| 1 | 2 | 4% | Families on caseload with confirmed child abuse/neglect prior to FY09 |
| <u> </u> | | GET CHILI | |
| | | Percentage | |
| 2 | 1 | | Confirmed abuse/neglect of target child |
| 3 | 1 | 2% | Target children placed in foster home |
| 4 | 0 | | Confirmed abuses of target child following report by HOPES-HFI |
| 5 6 | 0 | | Families continued HOPES-HFI participation following confirmed abuse of target child |
| 1 - | | | |
| L. Ch | | for Target | |
| | | Percentage | |
| 1 | 7 | | In non-registered home |
| 2 | 3 | | In a registered home |
| 3 | 34 | | With relative/friend |
| 4 | 0 | | In a non-licensed childcare center |
| 5 | 2 | | In a licensed childcare center |
| 6 | 5 | | Accepted and accessed Child Care Resource & Referral |
| / | 5 | | Received DHS child care assistance |
| M. Pr | | for Target | |
| | | Percentage | |
| 1 | 7 | 15% | Target children ≥ age 3 yr |
| 2 | 2 | 29% | Target children age ≥ 3 yr enrolled in a pre-school or Head Start |
| N. Fa | | | nmunity Services |
| | | Percentage | |
| 1 | 8 | | Accessed domestic violence/abuse services |
| 2 | 5 | | Accessed training ands voc-ed services (disability, technical training |
| 3 | 14 | | Accessed employment services (workforce development, unemployment |
| 4 | 20 | | Accessed financial/budget assistance |
| 5 | 26 | | Accessed housing support (rent assistance, heat assistance, HUD) |
| 6 | 24 | | Accessed mental health services for parent |
| 7 | 0 | | Accessed mental health services for target child |
| 8 | 3 | | Accessed substance abuse treatment services |
| 9 | 43 | | Accessed a public "closet" of infant/toddler supplies |
| 10 | 13 | | Enrolled in family Investment Program (FIP) |
| 11 | 4 | | Enrolled in Promise Jobs (PJ) |
| 12 | 19 | | Accessed further education (GED, Comm. College, 2nd language |
| 13 | 36 | | Participated in parenting education class/group |
| 14 | 30 | 59% | Used public/other transportation (bus, taxi, volunteers) |
| 15 | 46 | | Accessed a community resource center |
| 16 | 39 | 76% | Accessed a library for children's books |
| | | | 5 |

| 17 | 1 | 2% | Accessed of | risis child c | are | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------------|------------|---|---|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| 18 | 72 | $>\!\!<$ | Total hours | of crisis ch | ild care used | by families | | | | | | |
| O. Nu | D. Nutrition | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Number | Percentage | Э | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 51 | 100% | Families en | rolled in WI | С | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 36 | 71% | Mothers bre | eastfeeding | target child u | pon discharg | e from hospi | tal | | | | |
| 3 | 22 | 61% | | | | rget child age | | | | | | |
| 4 | 39 | 76% | Families re | Families received DHS food assistance (food stamps) | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 18 | 35% | Families enrolled in Food and Nutrition Program (FNP) | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 47 | 92% | Families ac | cessed foo | d pantries/cor | mmodity food | S | | | | | |

| P. Fai | mily Prol | blem Asses | ssment & Progress | | | | | | | |
|---------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| | | | initial assessment during first few h | nome visits, <u>me</u> | asure only fo | r families with | a target | | | |
| child v | who is ag | je <u>></u> 6 mo. | | | | | _ | | | |
| | Number | Percentage | 9 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 36 | 71% | Families with age of target child ag | ge <u>></u> 6 mo. | | | | | | |
| | | amilies n Identified | Problem Identified | # Resolved | # Improved | # Not Improved | | | | |
| | | 15 | Living Situation | 1 | 6 | 8 | | | | |
| | | 25 | Social Isolation | 0 | 8 | 17 | | | | |
| | | 27 | Transportation | 0 | 11 | 16 | | | | |
| | | 7 | Home Safety | 0 | 4 | 3 | | | | |
| | | 31 | Financial Situation | 0 | 4 | 27 | | | | |
| | | 17 | HOH Employment | 0 | 6 | 11 | | | | |
| | | 8 | Access to Health Care | 0 | 8 | 0 | | | | |
| | | 3 | Substance Abuse | 0 | 1 | 2 | | | | |
| | | 25 | Education | 0 | 4 | 21 | | | | |
| | | 15 | MH/Emotional Status | 0 | 6 | 9 | | | | |
| | | 3 | Domestic Violence | 1 | 0 | 2 | | | | |
| | 18 | | Parenting Skills/Discipline | 1 | 5 | 12 | | | | |
| | | 2 | Personal Hygiene | 0 | 0 | 2 | | | | |
| | | 12 | Age Appropriate Activities | 1 | 8 | 3 | | | | |
| | | 10 | Appropriate Child Care | 2 | 6 | 2 | | | | |
| | | 20 | Care of Infant | 1 | 18 | 1 | | | | |
| | | 27 | Child Growth & Development | 0 | 17 | 10 | | | | |
| | | 0 | Parent-Child Interaction | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | | I Services | | | | | | | | |
| | | Percentage | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 851 | $>\!\!<$ | Home visits to provide HOPES-HF | | SW/PM/ Sup | ervisor | | | | |
| 2 | 19 | 2% | Joint home visits with FSW by PM/Supervisor | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 57 | 6% | Attempted or not home, not found | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 93 | >< | Units of direct transportation provi | ded (1 round tri | p = 1unit) | | | | | |
| R. Ed | Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Screen | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 46 | $> \leq$ | Number of Clients screened (Pre | and Postnatal) I | <u>Jnduplicated</u> | | | | | |
| 2 | 112 | >< | Total number of EPDS done | | | | | | | |
| \geq | $>\!\!<$ | 2.43 | Avg # of EPDS per client (do not i | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 21 | 19% | Number of screens positive for po- | ssible depressi | on | | | | | |
| 4 | 16 | 76% | Number of referrals made | | | | | | | |
| a. | 0 | 0% | To Physician/PCP | | | | | | | |
| b. | 16 | 100% | To MH provider | | _ | | | | | |

| C. | 0 | 0% | To other | | | | | | | | |
|--------|----------|--------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 5 | 10 | 63% | Number of | clients who | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 10 | 100% | Number of | mber of clients who received intervention/trmt after accessed provider | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 5 | 24% | Number of | lumber of clients with positive screen already under the care of a provider or receiving | | | | | | | |
| \sim | $>\!\!<$ | \mathbb{X} | treatment for depression | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |